JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE
Printed ISSN: 2720-9938
Electronic ISSN: 2721-5202
jsss.co.id

COST AND TIME ANALYSIS USING THE EARNED VALUE METHOD IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF VILLA KANDYA HOUSE, UBUD, BALI.

Febri Cahyono[1], Budi Witjaksana[2], Jaka Purnama[3]
University of August 17, 1945 Surabaya, Indonesia
Email: febric43@gmail.com[1], budiwitjaksana@untag-sby.ac.id[2], jakapurnama@untag-sby.ac.id[3]

Abstrak

In the Kandya House Villa Construction project in Ubud, Bali, there was a delay with a time deviation of -11.97%. This delay has the potential to cause the completion time of the work, which according to the contract is scheduled for 53 weeks, to be exceeded. To evaluate the time and cost performance in this project, the Earned Value method was used. This method utilizes three main indicators, namely Actual Cost of Work Performed (ACWP), Budgeted Cost of Work Performed (BCWP), and Budgeted Cost of Work Scheduled (BCWS). The results of the analysis show that the project time performance has decreased, characterized by a Schedule Variance (SV) value of -Rp 375,265,411.62. This value reflects a significant difference between planned and completed work. In addition, the Schedule Performance Index (SPI) was recorded at 0.772, which means that time efficiency only reached 77.2% of the planned schedule. As a result, the projected completion of work using the Estimate to Schedule (ETS) and Estimate at Schedule (EAS) indicators increased to 421 days or equivalent to 60.090 weeks, far exceeding the contract duration. In terms of cost, the analysis shows suboptimal performance, with a Cost Variance (CV) value of -Rp 48,700,590.06. This indicates that the actual costs incurred were higher than the planned budget for the completed work. In addition, the Cost Performance Index (CPI) value was recorded at 0.963, which means that the efficiency of budget utilization only reached 96.3%. Overall, the results of the analysis showed that from both time and cost aspects, the project experienced a significant decline in performance. This indicates the need for improvement efforts to get the project back on track, especially through more effective schedule control and budget management.

Keywords: Earned Value, Cost Performance, Time Performance.

INTRODUCTION

Construction projects are growing larger and more complicated today both in terms of physical and cost. In practice, a project has limited resources, whether in the form of people, materials, costs or tools (Gann & Salter, 2000). This requires a project management starting from the initial phase of the project to the project completion phase. With the increasing level of project complexity and the scarcity of resources, it is also necessary to improve a good and integrated project management system (Ahuja, 1984). Tourism is one of the construction project development sectors that is currently being promoted by the government and the private sector. This is because tourism has a very important role in Indonesia's development, especially as a foreign exchange earner besides the oil and gas sector (Ernawati, 2019).

The implementation of the Kandya House Ubud Bali Villa Development project work and as the Implementing Contractor is CV. RAHARJA WIGUNA KONSTRUKSI with a

contract value of Rp. 3,130,896,000.00 - (Three Billion One Hundred Thirty Million Eight Hundred Ninety Six Thousand Rupiah) and carried out within a period of 53 (Fifty-three) weeks. In the implementation of the work of the Kandya House Ubud Bali Villa Development project, there were obstacles due to the influence of the Balinese Hindu holy days (September 24-26, SE Governor No.07 2023), thus affecting the time performance and cost performance of CV. RAHARJA WIGUNA KONSTRUKSI as the implementing contractor. Due to the above problems, the project showed that in week 29 the new implementation progress reached 40.57% of what had been planned at the beginning of week 29, namely 52.56%. So that there is a deviation between the implementation progress and the plan, which is -11.98%, this large percentage of delay has the potential to cause the total completion time of the work according to the contract for 53 weeks to be exceeded (Musuya, 2012).

The value for money concept is one of the tools used in project management that integrates cost and time. The earned value concept presents three dimensions, namely the physical completion of the project (the percent complete) which reflects the planned absorption of costs (budgeted cost), the actual costs that have been incurred or what is called actual cost and what is obtained from the costs that have been incurred or what is called earned value (Nurkaruniati et al., 2024). From these three dimensions, with the concept of earned value, it can be linked between cost and time performance derived from the calculation of the variance of cost and time (Fleming & Koppelman, 1994). Based on this cost and time performance, a project manager can identify the overall performance of the project and the work packages within it and then predict the cost and time performance of the project completion. The results of the project performance evaluation can be used as an early warning if there are performance inefficiencies in project completion so that management policies and changes in implementation methods can be made so that cost overruns and delays in project completion can be prevented (Purnama et al., 2023).

Researchers will use the Earned Value Analysis method in evaluating time performance and cost performance, for which 3 (three) indicators are used, namely, ACWP (actual cost of work performed), BCWP (budgeted cost of work performed), and BCWS (bugeted cost of work scheduled) (Crean, 1982). ACWP is the actual cost of the work that has been carried out. This cost is obtained from project accounting or financial data at the reporting date (e.g. end of month), which is a record of all actual cost expenditures from work packages or accounting codes including overhead calculations and others. So, ACWP is the actual amount of expenditure or funds used to carry out work in a certain period of time. BCWP shows the value of the results from the point of view of the value of the work that has been completed against the budget provided to carry out the work. When the ACWP figure is compared to the BCWP, it will show the comparison between the costs that have been incurred for the work that has been carried out against the costs that should have been incurred for this purpose. BCWS is the budget for a work package, but it is organized and linked to the implementation schedule. So here there is a combination of cost, schedule, and scope of work, where each work element has been given an allocation of costs and schedules that can be a benchmark in the implementation of workers. So that the implementation of construction can be in accordance with the time target and cost target that has been stated in the contract. By using the 3 indicators above, various factors can be calculated that show the progress and performance of project implementation such as: integrated cost

variance (CV) and schedule variance (SV); monitoring variance changes against standard figures; productivity and performance indices; project completion cost forecasts.

Researchers will evaluate the implementation of this project by analyzing in week 29 (twenty-nine) using the Earned Value method so that a solution can be found so that the total project work time is as planned, namely 53 (fifty-three) weeks. This evaluation is needed as a project leader's review material to take action the next week or time. Based on this, the objectives of this research are described as follows:

1. Analyzing project Time Performance based on Schedule Variance (SV) and Schedule Performance Index (SPI) parameters.
2. Analyzing project cost performance based on Cost Variance (CV) and Cost Performance Index (CPI) parameters.

RESEARCH METHOD

Location and Time of Research

This research will be conducted on the Kandya House Ubud Bali Villa Development project which will be implemented in 2024.

Data Collection Procedure

In the research conducted on the construction of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali, data collection as research material was obtained from the executing contractor and also some from the supervisory consultant. The types of data collected are secondary data types and literature studies, including the following:

Primary Data

a. Identify work problems at the project site.
b. Interview about work issues at the project site.

Secondary Data

a. Cost Budget Plan (RAB) Construction of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali.
b. Time Schedule of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali Construction project plan.
c. Weekly report of the construction project of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali.
d. Actual Cost of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali Construction project.

Data Processing and Analysis

a. BCWS is the budgeted cost for work scheduled for a certain period and specified in the budget, obtained by multiplying the percentage of progress plans contained in time schedule with the project implementation costs listed in the RAB.
b. ACWP is obtained from the project finance department. This calculation is only an estimate or estimate that is assumed to be the real cost used (real cost). Real cost is obtained from the product of the use of materials and labor with the unit price of materials and wages in the field (real unit price).
c. Cost variance and integrated schedule, it has been mentioned earlier that analyzing project progress with simple variance analysis is considered insufficient, because this method does not integrate cost and schedule aspects. To overcome this, BCWS, BCWP, and ACWP indicators are used in determining Cost Variance and Schedule Variance in an integrated manner.
d. Productivity and performance indices, project managers often want to know the efficiency of resource use, which can be expressed as a productivity index or performance index. This performance index consists of the Cost Performance Index (CPI) and the Schedule Performance Index (SPI).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Earned Value Analysis

In the earned value method, monitoring or evaluation is required at a time to determine the performance of a project. In the implementation of the construction of Villa Kandya House Ubud Bali, the method used in controlling costs and time in the field is to use a Time Schedule. Earned value analysis is carried out in week 29.

a. BCWS Calculation

An example of BCWS calculation in week 5, as follows:

BCWS = % plan weight x project value

= 3.635% x IDR 3,130,896,663.80,-

= IDR 113,801,709.92,-.

The BCWS value for week 5 is IDR 113,801,709.92. The recapitulation of the BCWS calculation is as follows:

Table 1. BCWS Calculation

Period	Plan Weight	(BCWS)
Week 1	0,212	IDR 6,634,000.00
Week 2	0,600	IDR 18,787,934.62
Week 3	1,246	IDR 39,005,014.57
Week 4	2,411	IDR 75,471,489.84
Week 5	3,635	IDR 113,801,709.92
Week 6	4,859	IDR 152,131,930.01
Week 7	7,432	IDR 232,673,452.01
Week 8	9,828	IDR 307,695,039.40
Week 9	13,028	IDR 407,905,667.20
Week 10	15,622	IDR 489,095,983.91
Week 11	18,833	IDR 589,637,289.41
Week 12	21,560	IDR 675,032,466.84
Week 13	23,113	IDR 723,651,937.51
Week 14	26,041	IDR 815,326,639.52
Week 15	28,317	IDR 886,578,288.48
Week 16	30,593	IDR 957,829,937.44
Week 17	32,869	IDR 1,029,081,586.40
Week 18	35,144	IDR 1,100,333,235.35
Week 19	37,42	IDR 1,171,584,884.31
Week 20	39,696	IDR 1,242,836,533.27
Week 21	41,539	IDR 1,300,533,649.80
Week 22	43,382	IDR 1,358,230,766.33
Week 23	44,223	IDR 1,384,571,184.62
Week 24	45,064	IDR 1,410,911,602.92
Week 25	45,843	IDR 1,435,311,114.85
Week 26	46,84	IDR 1,466,525,796.79
Week 27	47,837	IDR 1,497,740,478.73
Week 28	50,122	IDR 1,569,261,715.67
Week 29	52,56	IDR 1,645,594,811.24

Based on table 1, the calculation of BCWS (Budgeted Cost of Work Schedule) in week 29 amounted to IDR 1,645,594,811.24, -.

b. BCWP Calculation

An example of BCWP calculation for week 5, as follows:

BCWP = % realization weight x project value

= 5.936% x IDR 3,130,896,663.80,-

= IDR 185,834,957.35,-

The BCWP value for week 5 is IDR 185,834,957.35. The recapitulation of BCWP calculations is as follows:

Table 2. BCWP calculation

Period	Realization Weight	EV (BCWP)
Week 1	0,420	IDR 13,141,568.45
Week 2	2,693	IDR 84,310,251.60
Week 3	4,021	IDR 125,884,876.72
Week 4	4,620	IDR 144,662,147.27
Week 5	5,936	IDR 185,834,957.35
Week 6	9,135	IDR 286,016,773.31
Week 7	10,519	IDR 329,330,193.02
Week 8	12,480	IDR 390,737,951.43
Week 9	14,004	IDR 438,459,086.81
Week 10	15,622	IDR 89,095,983.91
Week 11	17,264	IDR 540,519,572.25
Week 12	18,562	IDR 581,170,950.92
Week 13	19,820	IDR 620,534,432.15
Week 14	22,114	IDR 692,360,422.21
Week 15	23,467	IDR 734,740,167.37
Week 16	24,122	IDR 755,229,175.01
Week 17	24,991	IDR 782,431,093.86
Week 18	28,173	IDR 882,075,192.16
Week 19	28,999	IDR 907,937,022.55
Week 20	30,030	IDR 940,215,597.56
Week 21	31,071	IDR 972,791,497.56
Week 22	33,325	IDR 1,043,368,574.19
Week 23	34,620	IDR 1,083,930,442.48
Week 24	34,986	IDR 1,095,383,888.98
Week 25	37,371	IDR 1,170,050,670.60
Week 26	38,881	IDR 1,217,332,785.63
Week 27	39,122	IDR 1,224,864,821.21
Week 28	39,571	IDR 1,238,916,088.42
Week 29	40,574	IDR 1,270,329,399.62

Based on table 2, the calculation of BCWP (Budgeted Cost for Work Performed) in week 29 amounted to IDR 1,270,329,399.62, -.

c. ACWP Calculation

The ACWP value for each week is IDR 8,250,000.00, -. The ACWP calculation recapitulation is as follows:

Table 3. ACWP Calculation

Period	Realization Weight	Direct costs	Indirect costs (cumulative)	AC (ACWP)
Week 1	0,420	IDR 11,170,333.18	IDR 8,250,000.00	IDR 19,420,333.18
Week 2	2,693	IDR 71,663,713.86	IDR 16,500,000.00	IDR 88,163,713.86
Week 3	4,021	IDR 107,002,145.21	IDR 24,750,000.00	IDR 131,752,145.21
Week 4	4,620	IDR 122,962,825.18	IDR 33,000,000.00	IDR 155,962,825.18
Week 5	5,936	IDR 157,959,713.75	IDR 41,250,000.00	IDR 199,209,713.75
Week 6	9,135	IDR 243,114,257.31	IDR 49,500,000.00	IDR 292,614,257.31
Week 7	10,519	IDR 279,930,664.06	IDR 57,750,000.00	IDR 337,680,664.06
Week 8	12,480	IDR 332,127,258.72	IDR 66,000,000.00	IDR 398,127,258.72
Week 9	14,004	IDR 372,690,223.78	IDR 74,250,000.00	IDR 446,940,223.78
Week 10	15,622	IDR 415,731,586.32	IDR 82,500,000.00	IDR 498,231,586.32
Week 11	17,264	IDR 459,441,636.41	IDR 90,750,000.00	IDR 550,191,636.41
Week 12	18,562	IDR 493,995,308.29	IDR 99,000,000.00	IDR 592,995,308.29
Week 13	19,820	IDR 527,454,267.33	IDR 107,250,000.00	IDR 634,704,267.33
Week 14	22,114	IDR 588,506,358.88	IDR 115,500,000.00	IDR 704,006,358.88
Week 15	23,467	IDR 624,529,142.27	IDR 123,750,000.00	IDR 748,279,142.27
Week 16	24,122	IDR 641,944,798.76	IDR 132,000,000.00	IDR 773,944,798.76
Week 17	24,991	IDR 665,066,429.78	IDR 140,250,000.00	IDR 805,316,429.78
Week 18	28,173	IDR 749,763,913.33	IDR 148,500,000.00	IDR 898,263,913.33
Week 19	28,999	IDR 771,746,469.17	IDR 156,750,000.00	IDR 928,496,469.17
Week 20	30,030	IDR 799,183,257.93	IDR 165,000,000.00	IDR 964,183,257.93
Week 21	31,071	IDR 826,872,772.93	IDR 173,250,000.00	IDR 1,000,122,772.93
Week 22	33,325	IDR 886,863,288.06	IDR 181,500,000.00	IDR 1,068,363,288.06
Week 23	34,620	IDR 921,340,876.11	IDR 189,750,000.00	IDR 1,111,090,876.11
Week 24	34,986	IDR 931,076,305.63	IDR 198,000,000.00	IDR 1,129,076,305.63
Week 25	37,371	IDR 994,543,070.01	IDR 206,250,000.00	IDR 1,200,793,070.01
Week 26	38,881	IDR 1,034,732,867.78	IDR 214,500,000.00	IDR 1,249,232,867.78
Week 27	39,122	IDR 1,041,135,098.03	IDR 222,750,000.00	IDR 1,263,885,098.03
Week 28	39,571	IDR 1,053,078,675.15	IDR 231,000,000.00	IDR 1,284,078,675.15
Week 29	40,574	IDR 1,079,779,989.68	IDR 239,250,000.00	IDR 1,319,029,989.68

Time and Cost Parameters Earned Value Concept

Analysis of project implementation performance on deviations that occur in time is carried out from BCWS, BCWP and ACWP indicators for week 29.

1. Schedule Variance

Table 4. Schedule Variance Calculation

Period	EV (BCWP)	PV (BCWS)	SV (BCWP-BCWS)
Week 1	IDR 13,141,568.45	IDR 6,634,000.00	IDR 6,507,568.45
Week 2	IDR 84,310,251.60	IDR 18,787,934.62	IDR 65,522,316.98
Week 3	IDR 125,884,876.72	IDR 39,005,014.57	IDR 86,879,862.15
Week 4	IDR 144,662,147.27	IDR 75,471,489.84	IDR 69,190,657.43
Week 5	IDR 185,834,957.35	IDR 113,801,709.92	IDR 72,033,247.43
Week 6	IDR 286,016,773.31	IDR 152,131,930.01	IDR 133,884,843.30
Week 7	IDR 329,330,193.02	IDR 232,673,452.01	IDR 96,656,741.00
Week 8	IDR 390,737,951.43	IDR 307,695,039.40	IDR 83,042,912.03

Week 9	IDR 438,459,086.81	IDR 407,905,667.20	IDR 30,553,419.61
Week 10	IDR 489,095,983.91	IDR 489,095,983.91	-
Week 11	IDR 540,519,572.25	IDR 589,637,289.41	-IDR 49,117,717.16
Week 12	IDR 581,170,950.92	IDR 675,032,466.84	-IDR 93,861,515.92
Week 13	IDR 620,534,432.15	IDR 723,651,937.51	- IDR 103,117,505.36
Week 14	IDR 692,360,422.21	IDR 815,326,639.52	- IDR 122,966,217.31
Week 15	IDR 734,740,167.37	IDR 886,578,288.48	- IDR 151,838,121.11
Week 16	IDR 755,229,175.01	IDR 957,829,937.44	- IDR 202,600,762.43
Week 17	IDR 782,431,093.86	IDR 1,029,081,586.40	-IDR 246,650,492.53
Week 18	IDR 882,075,192.16	IDR 1,100,333,235.35	- IDR 218,258,043.20
Week 19	IDR 907,937,022.55	IDR1,171,584,884.31	- IDR 263,647,861.76
Week 20	IDR 940,215,597.56	IDR 1,242,836,533.27	- IDR 302,620,935.71
Week 21	IDR 972,791,497.56	IDR 1,300,533,649.80	-IDR 327,742,152.24
Week 22	IDR 1,043,368,574.19	IDR 1,358,230,766.33	- IDR 314,862,192.14
Week 23	IDR 1,083,930,442.48	IDR 1,384,571,184.62	- IDR 300,640,742.14
Week 24	IDR 1,095,383,888.98	IDR 1,410,911,602.92	- IDR 315,527,713.94
Week 25	IDR 1,170,050,670.60	IDR 1,435,311,114.85	-IDR 265,260,444.26
Week 26	IDR 1,217,332,785.63	IDR 1,466,525,796.79	- IDR 249,193,011.17
Week 27	IDR 1,224,864,821.21	IDR 1,497,740,478.73	-IDR 272,875,657.52
Week 28	IDR 1,238,916,088.42	IDR 1,569,261,715.67	- IDR 330,345,627.25
Week 29	IDR 1,270,329,399.62	IDR 1,645,594,811.24	- IDR 375,265,411.62

Based on table 4 the calculation of Schedule Variance (SV) in week 29 amounted to -Rp 375,265,411.62, -. So it shows that the project is delayed from the planned schedule.

2. Schedule Performance Index

Table 5. Schedule Performance Index Calculation

Period	EV (BCWP)	PV (BCWS)	SPI (EV/PV)
Week 1	IDR 13,141,568.45	IDR 6,634,000.00	1,981
Week 2	IDR 84,310,251.60	IDR 18,787,934.62	4,487
Week 3	IDR 125,884,876.72	IDR 39,005,014.57	3,227
Week 4	IDR 144,662,147.27	IDR 75,471,489.84	1,917
Week 5	IDR 185,834,957.35	IDR 113,801,709.92	1,633
Week 6	IDR 286,016,773.31	IDR 152,131,930.01	1,880
Week 7	IDR 329,330,193.02	IDR 232,673,452.01	1,415
Week 8	IDR 390,737,951.43	IDR 307,695,039.40	1,270
Week 9	IDR 438,459,086.81	IDR 407,905,667.20	1,075
Week 10	IDR 489,095,983.91	IDR 489,095,983.91	1,000
Week 11	IDR 540,519,572.25	IDR 589,637,289.41	0,917
Week 12	IDR 581,170,950.92	IDR 675,032,466.84	0,861
Week 13	IDR 620,534,432.15	IDR 723,651,937.51	0,858
Week 14	IDR 692,360,422.21	IDR 815,326,639.52	0,849
Week 15	IDR 734,740,167.37	IDR 886,578,288.48	0,829
Week 16	IDR 755,229,175.01	IDR 957,829,937.44	0,788
Week 17	IDR 782,431,093.86	IDR 1,029,081,586.40	0,760
Week 18	IDR 882,075,192.16	IDR 1,100,333,235.35	0,802
Week 19	IDR 907,937,022.55	IDR 1,171,584,884.31	0,775

Week 20	IDR 940,215,597.56	IDR 1,242,836,533.27	0,757
Week 21	IDR 972,791,497.56	IDR 1,300,533,649.80	0,748
Week 22	IDR 1,043,368,574.19	IDR 1,358,230,766.33	0,768
Week 23	IDR 1,083,930,442.48	IDR 1,384,571,184.62	0,783
Week 24	IDR 1,095,383,888.98	IDR 1,410,911,602.92	0,776
Week 25	IDR 1,170,050,670.60	IDR 1,435,311,114.85	0,815
Week 26	IDR 1,217,332,785.63	IDR 1,466,525,796.79	0,830
Week 27	IDR 1,224,864,821.21	IDR 1,497,740,478.73	0,818
Week 28	IDR 1,238,916,088.42	IDR 1,569,261,715.67	0,789
Week 29	IDR 1,270,329,399.62	IDR 1,645,594,811.24	0,772

Based on table 5, the calculation of the Schedule Performance Index (SPI) in week 29 is 0.772. Then the time performance is not good, meaning that the work is late from the planned schedule.

3. Cost Variance

Table 6. Cost Variance Calculation

Period	AC (ACWP)	EV (BCWP)	CV (BCWP-ACWP)
Week 1	IDR 19,420,333.18	IDR 13,141,568.45	- IDR 6,278,764.73
Week 2	IDR 88,163,713.86	IDR 84,310,251.60	- IDR 3,853,462.26
Week 3	IDR 131,752,145.21	IDR 125,884,876.72	- IDR 5,867,268.49
Week 4	IDR 155,962,825.18	IDR 144,662,147.27	- IDR 11,300,677.91
Week 5	IDR 199,209,713.75	IDR 185,834,957.35	- IDR 13,374,756.40
Week 6	IDR 292,614,257.31	IDR 286,016,773.31	-IDR 6,597,484.00
Week 7	IDR 337,680,664.06	IDR 329,330,193.02	-IDR 8,350,471.05
Week 8	IDR 398,127,258.72	IDR 390,737,951.43	- IDR 7,389,307.28
Week 9	IDR 446,940,223.78	IDR 438,459,086.81	- IDR 8,481,136.98
Week 10	IDR 498,231,586.32	IDR 489,095,983.91	- IDR 9,135,602.41
Week 11	IDR 550,191,636.41	IDR 540,519,572.25	- IDR 9,672,064.16
Week 12	IDR 592,995,308.29	IDR 581,170,950.92	- IDR 11,824,357.36
Week 13	IDR 634,704,267.33	IDR 620,534,432.15	- IDR 14,169,835.18
Week 14	IDR 704,006,358.88	IDR 692,360,422.21	- IDR 11,645,936.67
Week 15	IDR 748,279,142.27	IDR 734,740,167.37	- IDR 13,538,974.89
Week 16	IDR 773,944,798.76	IDR 755,229,175.01	-IDR 18,715,623.75
Week 17	IDR 805,316,429.78	IDR 782,431,093.86	-IDR 22,885,335.92
Week 18	IDR 898,263,913.33	IDR 882,075,192.16	- IDR 16,188,721.18
Week 19	IDR 928,496,469.17	IDR 907,937,022.55	-IDR 20,559,446.62
Week 20	IDR 964,183,257.93	IDR 940,215,597.56	- IDR 23,967,660.37
Week 21	IDR 1,000,122,772.93	IDR 972,791,497.56	- IDR 27,331,275.37
Week 22	IDR 1,068,363,288.06	IDR 1,043,368,574.19	-IDR 24,994,713.87
Week 23	IDR 1,111,090,876.11	IDR 1,083,930,442.48	- IDR 27,160,433.63
Week 24	IDR 1,129,076,305.63	IDR 1,095,383,888.98	- IDR 33,692,416.65
Week 25	IDR 1,200,793,070.01	IDR 1,170,050,670.60	- IDR 30,742,399.41
Week 26	IDR 1,249,232,867.78	IDR 1,217,332,785.63	- IDR 31,900,082.16
Week 27	IDR 1,263,885,098.03	IDR 1,224,864,821.21	- IDR 39,020,276.82
Week 28	IDR 1,284,078,675.15	IDR 1,238,916,088.42	- IDR 45,162,586.74
Week 29	IDR 1,319,029,989.68	IDR 1,270,329,399.62	-IDR 48,700,590.06

Based on table 6, the calculation of Cost Variance (CV) in week 29 amounted to -Rp 48,700,590.06, -. So it shows that the cost used in completing the project is greater than the planned cost.

4. Cost Performance Index

Table 7. Calculation of Cost Performance Index

Period	AC (ACWP)	EV (BCWP)	CPI (EV/AC)
Week 1	IDR 19,420,333.18	IDR 13,141,568.45	0,677
Week 2	IDR 88,163,713.86	IDR 84,310,251.60	0,956
Week 3	IDR 131,752,145.21	IDR 125,884,876.72	0,955
Week 4	IDR 155,962,825.18	IDR 144,662,147.27	0,928
Week 5	IDR 199,209,713.75	IDR 185,834,957.35	0,933
Week 6	IDR 292,614,257.31	IDR 286,016,773.31	0,977
Week 7	IDR 337,680,664.06	IDR 329,330,193.02	0,975
Week 8	IDR 398,127,258.72	IDR 390,737,951.43	0,981
Week 9	IDR 446,940,223.78	IDR 438,459,086.81	0,981
Week 10	IDR 498,231,586.32	IDR 489,095,983.91	0,982
Week 11	IDR 550,191,636.41	IDR 540,519,572.25	0,982
Week 12	IDR 592,995,308.29	IDR 581,170,950.92	0,980
Week 13	IDR 634,704,267.33	IDR 620,534,432.15	0,978
Week 14	IDR 704,006,358.88	IDR 692,360,422.21	0,983
Week 15	IDR 748,279,142.27	IDR 734,740,167.37	0,982
Week 16	IDR 773,944,798.76	IDR 755,229,175.01	0,976
Week 17	IDR 805,316,429.78	IDR 782,431,093.86	0,972
Week 18	IDR 898,263,913.33	IDR 882,075,192.16	0,982
Week 19	IDR 928,496,469.17	IDR 907,937,022.55	0,978
Week 20	IDR 964,183,257.93	IDR 940,215,597.56	0,975
Week 21	IDR 1,000,122,772.93	IDR 972,791,497.56	0,973
Week 22	IDR 1,068,363,288.06	IDR 1,043,368,574.19	0,977
Week 23	IDR 1,111,090,876.11	IDR 1,083,930,442.48	0,976
Week 24	IDR 1,129,076,305.63	IDR 1,095,383,888.98	0,970
Week 25	IDR 1,200,793,070.01	IDR 1,170,050,670.60	0,974
Week 26	IDR 1,249,232,867.78	IDR 1,217,332,785.63	0,974
Week 27	IDR 1,263,885,098.03	IDR 1,224,864,821.21	0,969
Week 28	IDR 1,284,078,675.15	IDR 1,238,916,088.42	0,965
Week 29	IDR 1,319,029,989.68	IDR 1,270,329,399.62	0,963

Based on table 7, the calculation of the Cost Performance Index (CPI) in week 29 is 0.963. So it shows poor cost performance, because the costs incurred (ACWP) are greater than the value obtained (BCWP) or in other words there is waste

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of the research and discussion, several conclusions can be drawn as follows.

1. Based on the results of the analysis obtained time performance according to the calculation of Schedule Variance (SV) in week 29 amounting to -Rp 375,265,411.62, - indicating that the project is delayed from the planned schedule. The Schedule Performance Index (SPI) calculation at week 29 amounted to 0.772. Then the time performance is not good, meaning that the work is late from the planned schedule.
2. Based on the results of the analysis, it was found that the cost performance according to the calculation of Cost Variance (CV) in week 29 amounted to - Rp48,700,590.06, - indicating that the cost used in completing the project was greater than the planned cost. The calculation of the Cost Performance Index (CPI) in week 29 amounted to 0.963. So it shows poor cost performance, because the costs incurred (ACWP) are greater than the value obtained (BCWP) or in other words there is waste.

REFERENCES

Adam Nurfadlilah, M. (2015). Analysis Of The Influence Of Factors Causing Project Delay On The Construction Project Of Parking Building And Koja Hospital Building, North Jakarta (Doctoral Dissertation, Phd Thesis, Indonesia: Gunadarma University).

Alwi, S., Mohamed, S., & Hampson, K. (2002). Waste In The Indonesian Construction Projects. In Proceedings Of The 1st Cib-W107 International Conference-Creating A Sustainable Construction Industry In Developing Countries (Pp. 305-315). Csir.

Amalia, Y. F. Analysis Of The Impact Of Sidewalk Development On Women's Involvement In The Informal Economic Sector (Case Study On Women Street Vendors On Jalan Ceger Raya, Pondok Aren, South Tangerang) (Bachelor's Thesis, Jakarta: Fitk Uin Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta).

Analysa, D., Suhudi, S., & Rahma, P. D. (2019). Evaluation Of The Delay Of The Graha Mojokerto Service City (Gmsc) Construction Project With The Fault Tree Analysis (Fta) Method. Reka Buana, 4(2), 112-119.

Arikunto, S. (1992). Research Procedure: A Practice Approach. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Arifianto, W., & Rameli, I. M. (2018). Setting The Position Of The Milling Machine Tool To Cut The Workpiece Following The Contour Of The Circle. Final Project. Surabaya: Department Of Electrical Engineering, Faculty Of Electrical Technology, Sepuluh Nopember Institute Of Technology.

Eprillison, V. (2014). Analysis Of Dominant Factors Affecting Student Decisions To Continue Education To The Unp Postgraduate Pips Study Program, Journal Of Economic And Economic Education, Vol. 3 No. 1, Pp. 53-63. 53-63.

Ghozali, I. (2018). Application Of Multivariate Analysis With Ibm Spss 25 Program. Semarang: Diponegoro University Publishing Agency.

Gumolili, S. A., Sompie, B. F., & Rantung, J. P. (2012). Analysis Of Factors Causing Change Orders And Their Influence On The Performance Of Construction Project Implementation Time Within The North Sulawesi Provincial Government. Scientific Journal Of Media Engineering, 2(4), 98522.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). Multivariate Data Analysis

(7th Edition). Essex: Pearson Education Limited.

Levis, A., & Atherley, B. (1996). Delay Construction.

Mujianto, S., & Sajiyo, S. (2024). Component Damage Analysis And Maintenance Interval Of Avanza Car Unit (Case Study: Pt. Xyz Surabaya). Journal Of Integrated Industrial Engineering (Jutin), 7(4), 1989-2000.

Patriadi, A., & Fatmawati, L. E. (2024). Analysis Of Physical And Mechanical Parameters Of Expansive Clay Soil With The Addition Of Quicklime Stabilization Material (Case Study: Jl. Babatan, Wiyung Sub-District, Surabaya, East Java). Journal Of Review Of Education And Teaching (Jrpp), 7(3), 7822-7828.

Proboyo, H. (1999). Construction Management: A Project Perspective. Jakarta: Pustaka Bangsa.

Putra, D. A., Sari, O. L., & Situmorang, R. (2023). Delay Factor Analysis Of Construction Project In Balikpapan City. Journal Of Civil Engineering: Rancang Bangun, 9(1), 017-024.

Sambasivan, M., & Soon, Y. W. (2007). Causes And Effects Of Delays In Malaysian Construction Industry. International Journal Of Project Management, 25(5), 517-526.

Singarimbun, M., & Effendi, S. (1995). Survey Research Methods.

Suyito, S. & Sodik, M. A., (2015). Basic Research Methodology. Yogyakarta: Literacy Media Publishing.

Suyatno, S. (2010). Analysis Of Factors Causing Delay In Building Project Completion (Regression Model Application) (Doctoral Dissertation, Diponegoro University).

Wijaya, E. K., Setyowati, E. W., & Zaika, Y. (2018). Analysis Of Risk Control To Improve Construction Work Performance (Case Study Of Gorontalo Hajj Dormitory). Civil Engineering, 11(2), 149-158.

Widhiawati, N. (2009). Impact Of Construction Project Delay. Journal Of Civil Engineering, 14(3), 45-58.

Yuliana, C. (2013). Analysis Of Factors Causing Delays In The Implementation Of Bridge Construction Projects. Info-Teknik, 14(2), 114-125.